Establishment	Establishment	Inspection	Description
Number	Name	Date	
M6785			On 9/15/2022 at approximately 9:40 am while in the old scale house area observing and verifying HATS Category VI Electric Prod/Alternative object Use, IPP observed the following non-compliance. I observed an employee attempting to move a sow into the two-lane chute area with the use of the sorting gate in the old scale house area. In the process, I observed the employee hit the pig with excessive force on the side of the face with the rattle paddle. As the pig continued to move around in the old scale house area, I then observed the employee use force while using both of his hands to quickly push the sorting gate into the right side of the sow that was facing away from the employee. Once the metal gate hit the sow, she vocalized. At that point, I asked the employee to stop what he was doing. I then asked to speak to the REDACTED. I also took the regulatory action of tagging the electric stunning wand with tag NO. B35652392. REDACTED, the REDACTED came out and I shared the observations with him. It was communicated with both REDACTED and REDACTED (REDACTED) that a noncompliance record would be issued for not being compliant with regulations 313.2(a) and 313.2(b). I was informed by REDACTED that the immediate corrective action is the establishment removing that employee from that position for the time being. He also mentioned that the employee will not return to that position without being retrained. At that point, due to the corrective action given at approximately 9:46am, IPP removed the tag from the stunning wand returning the control back to the establishment.
	BEF Foods, Inc.	15-Sep-22	The establishment was non-compliant with 9 CFR 313.2(a) 313.2(b)
M6785			On 8/25/2022 at approximately 6:20 am while in the barn observing and verifying HATS Category II Truck Unloading, IPP observed the following non-compliance. While watching the truck driver attempt to usher the sows out of the back of the trailer through the open door to enter the barn; I observed the truck driver crawl up onto the back end of one of the sows with his knee elevating himself off the ground. As he did that his upper body was still up right and then he took his other knee and placed it on the back end of another sow. At that point he was completely elevated off the ground with one knee on the back of one sow and the other knee on the back of another sow. While kneeling on the backs of the sows he waved the paddle in front of the sows making contact with a few of the sows. Once the driver crawled onto the backs of the sows, I noticed the sows vocalizing loudly, were excited, moving forward as their back ends lowered closer to the ground under the weight of the driver. Once the driver removed himself off the backs of the sows, and some of the sows moved through the door into the barn, I asked the barn employee to call the REDACTED, REDACTED. I also asked the barn employee to stop the truck driver from moving any more sows. Once REDACTED came out to the barn, I shared by observations with him. After verifying my observations with the truck driver; REDACTED informed the truck driver that getting up onto the backs of the sows was inappropriate and not to do it again. At that point around 6:30am I informed REDACTED the truck driver could continue unloading the sows. I also informed REDACTED that the establishment would receive a non-compliance record due to the
	BEF Foods, Inc.	25-Aug-22	·
M6785	BEF Foods, Inc.	11/3/2021	On 11/3/2021 at approximately 5:12 am while in the barn observing and verifying HATS Category

			VIII Stunning Effectiveness, I, REDACTED observed the following non-compliance on a larger sow that refused to stand back up during antemortem. I observed the plant employee discharge the captive bolt gun as the sow moved her head and vocalized and remained in a dog sitting posture and conscious. There was one plant employee standing with a sort board at her right hip area to restrain her. The REDACTED then discharged the back up captive bolt gun and effectively rendered the sow unconscious. Once the sow was effectively euthanized, the observation of two circular wounds were made. There was a circular wound near the right ear that penetrated the skin and soft tissue and the second wound in the forehead penetrating the skull. Once this observation was made, REDACTED was informed that the establishment would receive a non-compliance record. The establishment was non-compliant with 9 CFR 313.15(a)(1) and REDACTED).
M6785	BEF Foods, Inc.	9/15/2021	This document serves as a written notification that continued failure to meet regulatory requirements can lead to enforcement action as described in 9 CFR Part 500.4 On 9/15/2021 at approximately 9:50 am while in the barn observing and verifying HATS Category VI Electric Prodding/Alternative Object Use, REDACTED observed the following non-compliance. While walking past the door to the old scale house area I heard multiple sows vocalizing. Due to the excessive vocalization, I was prompted to go out to the old scale house area. I observed multiple sows crowded in the chute that had two sides. On both sides of the chute, I observed multiple pairs of sows that were wedged shoulder to shoulder and pinned against the sides of the chute. They were not able to freely move forward or backward. They were vocalizing loudly and appeared to be overly excited. An REDACTED came to separate the sows. In the process of separating and handling them, he opened the first metal gate to the single file chute. A sow then ran under the gate into the single file chute and the employee closed the metal gate down on the back of the sow right above her back legs pinning her belly to wrow the back of the two-sided chute. REDACTED made the employee aware of the vocalizing and excited sow that remained pinned to the ground. At that point, the employee went back and removed the gate. It took a few seconds before the sow was able to stand after the gate was lifted, and when she moved, a change in her gait was observed. Shortly after the sow was released by the gate, another employee with a radio to call REDACTED, the REDACTED. I informed him of the observed non-compliance.

The establishment was non-compliant with 9 CFR 313.2(a) and 313.30(a)(2). This document serves as a
written notification that continued failure to meet regulatory requirements can lead to enforcement action as
described in 9 CFR Part 500.4