

Establishment Number	Establishment Name	Inspection Date	NR Description
M12455+V12455	Sanchez Slaughterhouse	5/1/2021	<p>Humane Handling: M12455 (Sanchez Slaughterhouse) HATS Category III - Water and Feed Availability</p> <p>On 05/01/2021, at approximately 0250 hours, I (REDACTED) while performing my Ante-Mortem inspection with REDACTED, observed the livestock present in the holding pens being held for slaughter. I observed a total of twelve (12) cattle in seven pens, housing 1 to 3 animals in each pen. I observed three of the cattle pens had five-gallon buckets laying on their sides, with no visible water inside or around the bucket. I observed that not all animals being held for slaughter had access to water. I verbally notified REDACTED and REDACTED of the noncompliance. The noncompliance was not immediately corrected by management. Proceeded with slaughter. Further planned corrective action will be documented by the management in response to this noncompliance record. There have been no non-compliances issued for the same root cause within the past 90 days.</p>
M12455+V12455	Sanchez Slaughterhouse	5/15/2021	<p>On 05/15/2021, at approximately 0600 hours, REDACTED while performing inspection observed stunning of the last bovine of the day with a .22 caliber rifle. I observed the stun operator apply a stun attempt as the cow moved within stun box, thereby missing the animal completely. I observed the stun operator apply the next stun attempt to the head and the cow immediately dropped to the ground and appeared to be insensible. However, I heard the cow let out audible groans in rhythm to her observed breathing. I did not observe a menace response but did observe agonal breathing and groans. The stun operator went to apply another stun but did not have any more ammunition in the chamber or at the stun box area. I had previously observed the stun operator take the ammunition down to the break area table. I observed the stun operator go down the stairs at the stun box to retrieve ammunition while REDACTED came up the stairs. I observed REDACTED express his irritation that the backup firearm and ammunition had been removed from the stun box. I observed REDACTED retrieve the rifle from the stun operator and apply another stun. I observed the cow remain unconscious while being bled out. I verbally notified REDACTED and REDACTED of the noncompliance. No regulatory control action was taken due to the observed immediate and effective corrective actions. I notified REDACTED, and REDACTED, of the event. Upon further inspection of the dressed skull, both stun holes appeared to be within the target zone. Further planned corrective action will be documented by the management in response to this noncompliance record. There have been no non-compliances issued for the same root cause within the past 90 days.</p>
M12455+V12455	Sanchez Slaughterhouse	5/21/2021	<p>HATS Category III - Water and Feed Availability Humane Handling: M12455 Sanchez Slaughterhouse</p> <p>On May 21, 2021 at approximately 1045 hours, I, REDACTED, observed the following noncompliance. There was no water readily available for two head of cattle in pen 6. REDACTED was not present at the establishment to be informed of the noncompliance at the time the observations were made.</p>

			<p>REDACTED took regulatory control action by placing USDA Retain Tag #B45645481, B29997455, along with B29997460 on the knock box as establishment management was not on site to offer or implement corrective actions. At 11:47 am, REDACTED informed REDACTED by phone of the noncompliance and regulatory control action. This noncompliance is being associated with NR# SCG4109055605N issued on 05/01/2021 for the same root cause of HATS Category III- Human Handling- Water and Feed Available</p>
M12455+V12455	Sanchez Slaughterhouse	5/29/2021	<p>Humane Handling: M12455 (Sanchez Slaughterhouse) HATS Category VIII - Stunning Effectiveness On 05/29/2021, at approximately 0520 hours, I (REDACTED) while performing inspection observed stunning of the last bovine of the morning with a .30 caliber rifle. I observed the stun operator apply a stun attempt to a very large tame bull. The bull remained standing after the applied stun, unphased and not vocalizing. The stun operator went to apply another stun but did not have any more ammunition in the chamber or at the stun box area. REDACTED was observing stunning from below and asked what had happened. I explained the animal was still standing. I observed the stun operator go down the stairs at the stun box to retrieve ammunition while REDACTED came up the stairs to see what had happened. I observed the stun operator apply a second stun. The bull immediately dropped to the ground and appeared to be insensible. I did not observe a menace response. I observed the bull remain unconscious while being bled out. I verbally notified REDACTED and REDACTED of the noncompliance. No regulatory control action was taken due to the observed immediate and effective corrective actions. I notified the REDACTED, of the event. Upon further inspection of the dressed skull, both stun holes appeared to be within the target zone. Further planned corrective action will be documented by the management in response to this noncompliance record. There is one non-compliance issued for the same root cause within the past 90 days. This non-compliance is being linked to NR SCG0913054015N-1 for the same root cause.</p>
M12455+V12455	Sanchez Slaughterhouse	6/5/2021	<p>Humane Handling: M12455 (Sanchez Slaughterhouse) HATS Category VIII - Stunning Effectiveness On 06/05/2021, at approximately 0305 hours, I (REDACTED) while performing inspection observed (REDACTED who I observed stun the first steer effectively but who I had not observed perform stunning before) stun the second bovine of the morning with a .22 caliber rifle with ammunition clip attached. I observed the stun operator apply the first stun attempt to the red steer. The steer remained standing after the applied stun and did not vocalize. The stun operator immediately aimed and applied a second stun attempt, in the amount of time it took to operate bolt action, after which the steer was still standing and not vocalizing. I observed the stun operator aim and apply a third stun attempt and the steer remained standing without vocalizing. The stun operator applied a fourth stun attempt where I observed the steer drop to the ground and rendered insensible. I did not observe a menace response. I observed the steer remain unconscious while being bled. (REDACTED) arrived around this time, so the</p>

			<p>aberrant stun operator excused himself from this duty for the remainder of slaughter operations. I verbally notified REDACTED of the noncompliance. REDACTED inquired about what had occurred. No regulatory control action was taken due to the observed immediate and effective corrective actions. I notified the REDACTED, of the event. Upon further inspection of the dressed skull, I observed three penetrating holes, which appeared to be below the target zone, with a fourth penetrating hole within the target zone. Further planned corrective action will be documented by the management in response to this noncompliance record. This non-compliance is being associated to SCG0717051529N issued on 05/29/2021 for the same root cause.</p>
M12455+V12455	Sanchez Slaughterhouse	9/18/2021	<p>HATS Category VIII - Stunning Effectiveness</p> <p>On 09/18/2021 at approximately 0345 hours, I (REDACTED. REDACTED) was on the slaughter floor, on the opposite side of the stunning area, when I heard the Captive Bolt device discharge. The heifer that was being stunned was heard to fall, then began kicking the door of the stunning box, vocalizing, and exhibiting signs of distress. I immediately went to the stunning area and conversed with the REDACTED who confirmed that this was an ineffective stunning attempt. At this point I observed that when the heifer fell, it had trapped its head underneath it's shoulder and against the stun chute, and that the REDACTED did not have access for an immediate second attempt. After a brief period of time, the REDACTED utilized an electric prod and the heifer was able to free itself, at which point the animal was effectively stunned with a .2 caliber rifle.</p> <p>USDA Reject tag #B-45649348 was applied to the stun box and the Denver REDACTED was contacted. We discussed that because the establishment was actively trying to correct the non-compliance, this event is not considered egregious. At this point the Reject tag was removed and operations continued.</p> <p>REDACTED. REDACTED, Owner/GM, was verbally notified of the non-compliance.</p> <p>Upon review of the dressed head, I observed that the initial attempt was very near the appropriate area, and that the second attempt was behind the poll. We discussed that this is only the second week the establishment has utilized the captive bolt device. Although there are recent humane handling non-compliances for ineffective stunning attempts, this is the first non-compliance related to the captive bolt device.</p>
M12455+V12455	Sanchez Slaughterhouse	10/30/2021	Humane Handling: M12455 (Sanchez Slaughterhouse)

			<p>HATS Category IV – Ante-Mortem Inspection</p> <p>On 10/30/2021, at approximately 0257 hours, I (REDACTED) while performing Ante-Mortem inspection with REDACTED, observed the livestock present in the holding pens being held for slaughter. I observed a total of REDACTED cattle in REDACTED pens, housing 1 to 3 animals in each pen. I observed two cattle in pen #REDACTED with fresh blood on the wet (from the overnight rain) concrete floor. The smaller of the two black angus within pen #REDACTED, a heifer, had fresh blood down the side of her face coming from her exposed left horn core. Upon further inspection I observed the degloved horn sheath on the pen floor with fresh coagulating blood. I observed blood smeared at height of heifer’s head upward in an arc shape, near the door of the pen on metal grid. I observed several areas on the metal grate pen that are not intact, incomplete grid pattern, with rusty jagged edges. The animal appeared bright, alert, and excited/fractious. I contacted REDACTED for guidance. I informed REDACTED that I had observed these cattle yesterday in the dirt pen, prior to separation in the ante-mortem pens, with no injuries observed at that time. I verbally notified Mr. William Sanchez Sr., establishment owner, and Mr. Glenn Takenaka, establishment manager, of the noncompliance. As per 9 CFR 313.1(a) Livestock pens, driveways, and ramps shall be maintained in good repair. They shall be free from sharp or protruding objects which may, in the opinion of the inspector, cause injury or pain to the animals. Loose boards, splintered or broken planking, and unnecessary openings where the head, feet, or legs of an animal may be injured shall be repaired. There have been no non-compliance records issued for the same root cause within the past 90 days.</p>
M12455+V12455	Sanchez Slaughterhouse	11/27/2021	<p>Humane Handling NR, Est. M12455, Sanchez Slaughterhouse</p> <p>HATS Category VI: Electric Prod, Alternate Object Use</p> <p>On Saturday November 27, 2021, at approximately 0558 hours, the SPHV heard a bovine vocalizing in the holding pens. The SPHV proceeded to the holding pens and at 0601 hours observed the</p>

		<p>establishment owner with a handheld battery-operated electric prod in his hand. In the chute leading to the stun box, the SPHV observed a bovine in right lateral recumbency with its rear legs under the chute guard rail and its head facing away from the stun box. The SPHV observed the establishment owner repeatedly apply a handheld battery-operated electric prod to the animal on head with the animal vocalizing and attempting to rise in response to each electric prod application and instructed the establishment owner to cease using the electric prod as it was excessive. The establishment owner then attempted to reposition the animal by pushing its legs underneath it in the chute then by repositioning the animal's rear quarters; at this time, the establishment owner determined the animal's head was stuck and attempted to manually free the animal's head.</p> <p>An establishment employee suggested stunning the animal where it lay; the SPHV verified the animal had received ante mortem inspection and was ambulatory prior to becoming trapped in lateral recumbency in the chute. The establishment employee retrieved a hand-held captive bolt device, and the establishment owner effectively stunned the animal on the first stun application. The SPHV verified the animal remained insensible as the establishment employees brought the animal into the shackling and bleeding area, hoisted the stunned animal, and bled the carcass.</p> <p>The SPHV correlated with the Denver District Veterinary Medical Specialist (DVMS). No regulatory control action was taken as the SPHV maintained regulatory control of the situation.</p> <p>The SPHV verbally notified the establishment manager of the forthcoming NR for excessive use of an electric prod. This is noncompliant with 9 CFR 313.2(b), 313.15(a)(2), and 313.15(b)(1)(iii).</p> <p>A review of noncompliance records demonstrated no other issue with the same root cause within the past 90 days.</p>
--	--	---

