January 11, 2005

Response of the Office of Chief Counsel
Division of Corporation Finance

Re: General Electric Company
   Incoming letter dated December 10, 2004

The proposal requests that the board commit to using non-animal methods for certain assessments, commit to replacing animal-based tests and petition the relevant regulatory agencies to accept non-animal methods as replacements for animal based methods.

We are unable to concur in your view that GE may exclude the proposal under rule 14a-8(i)(3). Accordingly, we do not believe that GE may omit the proposal from its proxy materials in reliance on rule 14a-8(i)(3).

We are unable to concur in your view that GE may exclude the proposal under rule 14a-8(i)(7). Accordingly, we do not believe that GE may omit the proposal from its proxy materials in reliance on rule 14a-8(i)(7).

There appears to be some basis for your view that GE may exclude the sentence that begins “This proposal . . .” and ends “. . . of Animals” under rule 14a-8(l). Accordingly, it is our view that GE may omit this sentence from the proposal under rule 14a-8(l).

Sincerely,

[Signature]

Robyn Manos
Special Counsel